

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,206		03/16/2004	Tongbi Jiang	3086.13US (96-1006.13/US)	2038	
24247	7590	04/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550				OWENS, DO	OWENS, DOUGLAS W	
	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2811		
				DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T A II AI NI -	1 A 1! 4(-)						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		(A)				
Office Action Summers	10/801,206	JIANG ET AL.		10				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Douglas W. Owens	2811						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence ad	aress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this or ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 3	<u>lanuary 2005</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 10-13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,14-16,18,20 and 21 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ed.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	ate	O-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/10/05.	6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 10-13 and 28-32 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,323,543. This is a double patenting rejection.

The only difference between claim 1 of the instant application and claim 10 of the patent is the phrase "having a portion thereof including" in the claim of the application, whereas the patent has the phrase "and an". The term "and an" is taken to have the same meaning as "including". Additionally, although the patent does not explicitly recite the limitation "having a portion thereof", the intrinsic conductive polymer would have necessarily been included on a portion of the composite lead frame. Moreover, identical subject matter is disclosed in both claims, which can be seen when both claims are diagramed.

Claims 2-4 of the application are nearly identical to claims 11-13 of the patent, the only exception being that the word "the" is used in the application where

Art Unit: 2811

"said" is used in the patent. The two words have the same meaning in the context of the claims.

Page 3

With respect to claim 5 of the application, the differences in the claim language of claim 28 of the patent do not amount to different subject matter. Claim 5 of the application recites that the connector attach a portion of the electronic device to a portion of the circuit card. The patent only recites that the connector attach the electronic device to the circuit card. In order to connect the connector to the circuit card, a portion of the connector must be connected to a portion of the circuit card. The connector cannot be connected to the circuit card without connecting a portion of the connector to a portion of the card.

Claims 6-9 of the application are identical to claims 29-32 of the patent, the only exception being that the word "the" is used in the application where "said" is used in the patent. The two words have the same meaning in the context of the claims.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/801,206

Art Unit: 2811

4. Claims 14 – 16, 18, 20 and 21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12, 17 and 22 – 24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,091,136. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent include each limitation of the instant application.

Claim 12 of the patent includes each limitation of claims 14 and 15.

Claim 17 of the patent includes the limitations of claim 16.

Claim 23 of the patent includes the limitations of claim 18.

Claim 22 of the patent includes the limitations of claim 20.

Claim 24 of the patent includes the limitations of claim 21.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 10 13 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 17 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W. Owens whose telephone number is 571-272-1662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas W Owens Examiner

Douglas W. Owens

Page 5

Art Unit 2811

DWO